

**REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

Claims 2 through 14, 16 through 18, 20 through 23 and 26 through 35 remain pending in this application. Claims 1, 15, 19, 24 and 25 are hereby canceled. Claims 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 16, 17, 18, 20, 21, 22 and 23 are hereby amended.

**Objection to the Abstract**

The abstract is objected to because it should be only one paragraph.

Applicant noted that the Abstract exceeded 150 words and therefore has amended the Abstract to be less than 150 words in length and also to be in a single paragraph.

Reconsideration and withdrawal of the objection to the Abstract as amended is respectfully requested.

**Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 112**

Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite from failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 23 is hereby amended to depend from, and include all limitations of, claim 22. Claim 22 provides antecedent basis for the term “said true/false indicators.”

Reconsideration and withdrawal of the 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, rejection of claim 23 is respectfully requested.

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e)

Claims 1, 5, 6, 15, 19, 21, 24 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,546,251 (issued Apr. 8, 2003) to Dalsgaard et al., [hereinafter “*Dalsgaard*”].

Claims 1, 15, 19, 24 and 25 are hereby canceled. Claims 5, 6 and 21 have been amended and are discussed in further detail below under the heading of “Allowable Subject Matter.”

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)

Claims 3, 4, 17 and 18 are rejected under 35. U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Dalsgaard* in view of U.S. Patent No. 7,058,042 (issued Jun. 6, 2006) to Bontempi et al., [hereinafter “*Bontempi*”].

Claims 3, 4, 17 and 18 have been amended and are discussed in further detail below under the heading of “Allowable Subject Matter.”

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2, 7 16, 18, 20 and 22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 8 through 14 and 26 through 35 are allowable.

Claim 1 is hereby canceled. Claim 2 is hereby amended to be in independent form and to include all the limitations of previous claim 1. Claims 3, 4, 5 and 6 have been amended to depend from, and include all limitations of, claim 2 as amended.

Reconsideration and withdrawal of the 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) rejections of claims 5 and 6 is respectfully requested as claims 5 and 6 now depend from, and include all limitations of, the allowable claim 2 as amended.

Reconsideration and withdrawal of the 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) rejections of claims 3 and 4 is respectfully requested as claims 3 and 4 now depend from, and include all limitations of, the allowable claim 2 as amended.

Claim 15 is hereby canceled. Claim 16 is hereby amended to be in independent form and to include all the limitations of previous claim 15. Claims 17 and 18 have been amended to depend from, and include all limitations of, claim 16 as amended.

Reconsideration and withdrawal of the 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) rejections of claims 17 and 18 is respectfully requested as claims 17 and 18 now depend from, and include all limitations of, the allowable claim 16 as amended.

Claim 19 is hereby canceled. Claim 20 is hereby amended to be in independent form and to include all the limitations of previous claim 19. Claims 21 and 22 have been amended to depend from, and include all limitations of, claim 16 as amended.

Reconsideration and withdrawal of the 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) rejections of claim 21 is respectfully requested as claim 21 now depends from, and includes all limitations of, the allowable claim 16 as amended.

Claim 23 has been amended to depend from, and include all limitations of, claim 22 as amended and as previously discussed with respect to the 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph rejection.

## CONCLUSION

No amendment made was related to the statutory requirements of patentability unless expressly stated herein. Also, no amendment made was for the purpose of narrowing the scope of any claim, unless Applicant(s) has/have argued herein that such amendment was made to distinguish over a particular reference or combination of references.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to deduct any additional fees arising as a result of this response, including any fees for Extensions of Time, or any other communication from or to credit any overpayments to Deposit Account No. 50-2117.

It is submitted that the claims clearly define the invention, are supported by the specification and drawings, and are in a condition for allowance. Applicant respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case. Should the Examiner have any questions or concerns that may expedite prosecution of the present application, the Examiner is encouraged to telephone the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,  
Mark Pecen, et al.

Please forward all correspondence to:  
Motorola, Inc.  
Law Department (JTC)  
600 North US Highway 45  
Libertyville, IL 60048

/Joseph T. Cygan/  
Joseph T. Cygan December 6, 2007  
Attorney for Applicant(s)  
Registration No. 50,937  
Telephone: (847) 523-7784  
Facsimile: (847) 523-2350